

The transitional period until the New Framework is in place

Raúl Sánchez Ramírez
ATM/ANS Development Section



Your safety is our mission.

Repeal of the IOP Regulation

- The transition arrangements defined in Article 139(2) ensure the applicability of the existing EC Declaration mechanisms
- Interoperability is now addressed in the Basic REG and will be further regulated by delegated and implementing acts
- References in EU legislation to the IOP Regulation to be interpreted as references to the BASIC REG i.a.w. Art. 139(4)

Rules applicable for the time being

Until the date of application of the delegated acts i.a.w. Art. 47, but all gone after September 2023

Art. 5

DoC/DSU

For constituents placed in the market by manufacturers or their authorised representatives
MANDATORY

Art. 6

DoV + Technical File
For systems integrated into EATMN by ANSPs

MANDATORY



Art. 4

Community Specifications:

- European standards for systems or constituents
 - ECTL specifications on matters of operational nature
- References in OJEU
USE NOT MANDATORY



Art. 7

Safeguarding measures taken by the NSA in order to minimise non-compliance issues plus other measures to clarify why



Annexes III & IV
EC Declarations and supporting documentation

Compliance with **ERs** and relevant IR for IOP

Art. 6a
Certification instead of EC Declarations
NOT POSSIBLE

Scope and responsibilities

- No change to the current allocation of responsibilities in regards to the issuance of declarations
 - ANS provider ⇔ ATS, CNS, AIS, MET providers in charge of DoV
 - manufacturer, or its authorised representative in charge DoC/DSU
- The set of ATM/ANS systems that make up the EATMN is different
 - It keeps the door open for systems, other than those previously addressed by the IOP Regulation, and explicitly refers to systems in support of MET and space-based COM services

Should we process the corresponding EC declarations accordingly?

New Essential Requirements (ERs)

- The ERs for systems and constituents have changed
 - while the existing IR for IOP and the Community specifications (CSs), where available, were defined to meet the old ones
 - Explicit and implicitly contained in Points 2 and 3 of Annex VIII
 - Similar in nature and granularity as before, although not identicalShould we require that EC declarations be updated?
- CSs often contain traceability mapping to the repealed ERs, the IRs for IOP, or both
 - Presumption of compliance with the new ERs could be put into questionShould the EC request that CSs be revised for the sake of consistency with ERs?

Recommendations

- EASA draft guidance planned to be available by end of 2019
- A pragmatic approach is necessary
 - Existing EC declarations issued by the repeal of the IOP Regulation should be recognised as valid in order to limit the administrative burden
 - New EC declarations should be required to ensure compliance with the new ERs and the IRs for IOP where
 - A new ATM/ANS constituent is placed on the market
 - A new ATM/ANS system is put into service.
 - An ATM/ANS system/constituent is upgraded
 - ~~Systems and constituents not previously considered as part of the EATMN~~

Other considerations

- Whenever a technical revision of a CS is requested by the EC
 - Either deletion of the existing references to ERs or revision thereof
 - Detailed specifications that ensure compliance with the new ERs are to be established under the future CA framework later on

Thank you!

easa.europa.eu/connect



Your safety is our mission.

An Agency of the European Union 